

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/526,752	09/16/2005	Hannu Mantsinen	881B.0017.U1(US)	6927	
29683 HARRINGTO	7590 04/29/200 N & SMITH, PC	8	EXAM	IINER	
4 RESEARCH DRIVE			RUDAWITZ, JOSHUA I		
SHELTON, C	1 06484-6212		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3652		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/29/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/526,752 MANTSINEN, HANNU

Office Action Summary							
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	JOSHUA I. RUDAWITZ	3652					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.1 If NO period for reply is appecified above, the maximum statutory period If NO period for reply with the set or extended period for reply will by statute Any reply received by the Cffice later than three months after the mailing aemed patent term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.70(4b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this Nationa	Stage				
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	ea.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/05)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/16/2007.	6) Other:	atom r pproduori					

Application/Control Number: 10/526,752 Page 2

Art Unit: 3652

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 5/16/2007 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.
- For example:

Claim 1, line 2, "frame pact" seems as thought it should be "frame part".

Claim 1, line 2 "lifting anus" seems as thought it should be "lifting arms"

Further grammatical errors and lack of antecedent basis persist throughout the claims and they will be examined as best understood by the examiner.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/526,752

Art Unit: 3652

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), as best understood, as being unpatentable over Adams (U.S. Patent No. 4,027,771) in view of Lonzinski et al. (U.S. Patent App. No. 2003/0110849).

Adams discloses a lifting device which includes a frame, a transversal support 12 attached to one end of the frame part, lifting arms 10, 11 attached to the transversal support in a distance from each other, which lifting arms may be moved under a load to be lifted and transporting equipment 23 placed in the lifting arms for moving the lifting arms on a base, wheels have been attached to the lifting arms; the transporting equipment comprise two or several wheels, one on each arm, the outer ends of the lifting arms are bevel backwards and downwards and that at least one wheel is placed at the beveled point, see figure 3; an attaching part 48 attached to the frame for attaching the lifting device removably to the lifting device of a crane.

Adams fails to disclose the lifting device comprises drive mechanisms placed in lifting arms for driving the transporting equipment in lifting arms separately and for steering the lifting arms; and that the drive has been connected to at least one

Application/Control Number: 10/526,752

Art Unit: 3652

wheel; and a transmission element between the wheels and the drive; the transporting equipment comprises an endless belt-like element.

Lonzinski et al. (Lonzinski) discloses lifting device comprises drive mechanisms placed in lifting arms for driving the transporting equipment in lifting arms separately and for steering the lifting arms 49; and that the drive 47 has been connected to at least one wheel 49; and a transmission element between the wheels and the drive, seen in block 25 of figure; the transporting equipment comprises an endless belt-like element in order to drive the unit with heavier loads. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include the drive and transmission of Lonzinski in the lift device of

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please see attached PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOSHUA I. RUDAWITZ whose telephone number is (571)272-7856. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30 A.M. - 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saul Rodriguez can be reached on 571-272-7097. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/526,752 Page 5

Art Unit: 3652

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. I. R./ Examiner, Art Unit 3652 /Saúl J. Rodríguez/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3652